

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,471	02/06/2001	Raul A. Bircann	89190.157900/DP-303637 4278	
7590 01/12/2004		EXAMINER		
Delphi Technologies, Inc.			BONDERER, DAVID A	
P.O. Box 5052				
Mail Code 480414420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 48007			3732	17
		DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				SM				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/777,471	BIRCANN ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		D. Austin Bonderer	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 E	<u>December 2003</u> .						
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) 🗌 -	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Ceptical Certified copies of the priority document Ceptical C	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the certified copies not received ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(east sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received in the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional applic in an Application Data S eeived. and/or 121 since a spec	ific				
Attachment				•				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Golovatai-Schmidt et

al.

Golovatai discloses a valve comprising:

- Two pole pieces 21, 22;
- An electric core;
- A bearing 12 axially retained in one of the two pole pieces;
- The effective bearing diameter nearly identical as that of the shaft;
- A gap 7;
- An armature 110;
- A shaft 36;
- Gap filled with air 7;
- The armature is frusto-conical; and
- Attachable to a device providing for linear actuation.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,471

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golovatai.

As mention before the effective inner diameter of Golovatai is nearly identical as the shaft. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to a smaller barring, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Also the ratio of the baring length to the shaft diameter is considered to be an optimum range decision.

5. Claims 6- 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golovantai in view of Hussy et al.

Golovantai discloses a valve. Husssy teaches the use of an EGR valve in a combustible engine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the valve design of Golovantai in the EGR Valve of Hussy as it is just intended use. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ 1964 (1987). All the structural limitations of the valve have been met.

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cornea et al., Stolk et al., Kather et al., and Frankenberg disclose relevant art to the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0873.

dab

PEDRO PHILOGENE